

Complexity of decision problems on TRATGs

Gabriel Ebner

2017-05-25

TU Wien

Totally rigid acyclic tree grammars

Complexity

Cut-reduction

Terms, not words.

- Start symbol: A
- Nonterminals: A, B, C, D, \dots
- (Acyclic) productions: $B \rightarrow t[C, D, \dots]$

Rigid derivations: $A[A \setminus t_1][B \setminus t_2][C \setminus t_3] \dots$

Language $L(G)$ consists of all derivable terms

$$A \rightarrow f(B, B) \mid g(B, B)$$
$$B \rightarrow c \mid d$$

$$A \rightarrow f(B, B) \mid g(B, B)$$
$$B \rightarrow c \mid d$$
$$L(G) = \{f(c, c), f(d, d), g(c, c), g(d, d)\}$$

Totally rigid acyclic tree grammars

Complexity

Cut-reduction

Problem (Membership)

Given a TRATG G and a term t , is $t \in L(G)$?

Problem (Membership)

Given a TRATG G and a term t , is $t \in L(G)$?

Claim: Membership is NP-complete.

- Derivations of t are polynomial in the size of t and G .

$$A, A[A \setminus s_1], A[A \setminus s_1][B \setminus s_2], \dots$$

Can check in polynomial time whether such a sequence of terms is a derivation of t in G .

- Hardness: next slide.

Encoding SAT

The TRATG $\text{Sat}_{n,m}$ generates the satisfiable 3-CNFs with n clauses and m variables:

$$A \rightarrow \mathbf{and}(\text{Clause}_1, \dots, \text{Clause}_n)$$

$$\text{Clause}_j \rightarrow \mathbf{or}(\text{True}_j, \text{Any}_{i,1}, \text{Any}_{i,2})$$

$$\text{Clause}_j \rightarrow \mathbf{or}(\text{Any}_{i,1}, \text{True}_j, \text{Any}_{i,2})$$

$$\text{Clause}_j \rightarrow \mathbf{or}(\text{Any}_{i,1}, \text{Any}_{i,2}, \text{True}_j)$$

$$\text{Any}_{i,k} \rightarrow \mathbf{x}_1 \mid \mathbf{neg}(\mathbf{x}_1) \mid \dots \mid \mathbf{x}_m \mid \mathbf{neg}(\mathbf{x}_m) \mid \mathbf{false} \mid \mathbf{true}$$

$$\text{True}_j \rightarrow \mathbf{Value}_1 \mid \dots \mid \mathbf{Value}_m \mid \mathbf{true}$$

$$\text{Value}_j \rightarrow \mathbf{x}_j \mid \mathbf{neg}(\mathbf{x}_j)$$

Problem (Containment)

Given TRATGs G_1 and G_2 , is $L(G_1) \subseteq L(G_2)$?

Problem (Containment)

Given TRATGs G_1 and G_2 , is $L(G_1) \subseteq L(G_2)$?

Claim: Π_2^P -complete

- In Π_2^P : for every sequence of terms check if it is a derivation of a term t in G_1 , and then if $t \in L(G_2)$.

Containment (Π_2^P -hardness)

- Determining the truth of the quantified Boolean formula $\forall \mathbf{y}_1 \dots \forall \mathbf{y}_k \exists \mathbf{x}_1 \dots \exists \mathbf{x}_m f$ is Π_2^P -complete.
- Let f be in 3-CNF with n clauses.
- Is $f\sigma$ satisfiable for any $\sigma: \{\mathbf{y}_1, \dots, \mathbf{y}_k\} \rightarrow \{\mathbf{true}, \mathbf{false}\}$?
- Is $\{f\sigma \mid \sigma: \{\mathbf{y}_1, \dots, \mathbf{y}_k\} \rightarrow \{\mathbf{true}, \mathbf{false}\}\} \subseteq L(\text{Sat}_{n,m})$?
- Left side is generated by a TRATG:

$$A \rightarrow f[\mathbf{y}_1 \setminus Y_1, \dots, \mathbf{y}_k \setminus Y_k]$$

$$Y_j \rightarrow \mathbf{true} \mid \mathbf{false}$$

Other problems

Problem (Disjointness)

Given TRATGs G_1 and G_2 , is $L(G_1) \cap L(G_2) = \emptyset$?

Problem (Equivalence)

Given TRATGs G_1 and G_2 , is $L(G_1) = L(G_2)$?

\Rightarrow Disjointness is coNP-complete (via Membership)

\Rightarrow Equivalence is Π_2^P -complete (via Containment)

Totally rigid acyclic tree grammars

Complexity

Cut-reduction

Definition (simple proof)

We call a proof π in LK simple iff:

- The end-sequent is prenex Σ_1
- Cuts have at most a single quantifier, which is prenex
- Quantified cuts are immediately followed by a strong quantifier rule

Cut-reduction to language generation

We assign to every simple proof π a TRATG $G(\pi)$.

$L(G(\pi))$ contains the formulas in a Herbrand sequent of π

- Nonterminals: eigenvariables from cuts + start symbol A
- Productions $x \rightarrow t$ for weak quantifier inferences on cut formulas:

$$\frac{\frac{\vdash \varphi(x)}{\vdash \forall x \varphi(x)} \forall\text{-r} \quad \frac{\frac{\varphi(t) \vdash}{\vdash} \forall\text{-l} \quad \vdots}{\forall x \varphi(x) \vdash} \text{cut}}{\text{cut}}$$

- Productions $A \rightarrow \varphi(t)$ for instances of formulas end-sequent.

Theorem ([Hetzl and Straßburger 2012])

- For every Gentzen cut-reduction sequence $\pi \rightsquigarrow \pi'$, we have $L(G(\pi)) \supseteq L(G(\pi'))$.
- If we did not perform grade reduction on weakenings, then $L(G(\pi)) = L(G(\pi'))$.

Let \rightsquigarrow^{ne} be the *non-erasing* Gentzen cut-reduction relation, i.e. where we do not reduce weakenings.

We can directly extract tautological Herbrand sequents from \rightsquigarrow^{ne} -NFs.

$\Rightarrow f \in H(\pi^*)$ iff $f \in L(G(\pi))$ (for any \rightsquigarrow^{ne} -NF π^*)

Corresponding problems for simple proofs

Problem (H-membership)

Let π be a simple proof, and f a formula. Is there a $\overset{ne}{\rightsquigarrow}$ -NF $\pi \overset{ne}{\rightsquigarrow} \pi^*$ such that $f \in H(\pi^*)$?

Problem (H-containment)

Let π_1, π_2 be simple proofs. Are there $\overset{ne}{\rightsquigarrow}$ -NFs $\pi_i \overset{ne}{\rightsquigarrow} \pi_i^*$ such that $H(\pi_1^*) \subseteq H(\pi_2^*)$?

Problem (H-disjointness)

Let π_1, π_2 be simple proofs. Are there $\overset{ne}{\rightsquigarrow}$ -NFs $\pi_i \overset{ne}{\rightsquigarrow} \pi_i^*$ such that $H(\pi_1^*) \cap H(\pi_2^*) = \emptyset$?

Problem (H-equivalence)

Let π_1, π_2 be simple proofs. Are there $\overset{ne}{\rightsquigarrow}$ -NFs $\pi_i \overset{ne}{\rightsquigarrow} \pi_i^*$, such that $H(\pi_1^*) = H(\pi_2^*)$?

Language generation to cut-reduction

Lemma

There is a formula $\varphi(x)$ such that we can assign to every grammar G a simple proof π_G satisfying $H(\pi_G^) = \varphi[L(G)]$ for any $\overset{ne}{\rightsquigarrow}$ -NF π_G^* .*

Corresponding complexity results for simple proofs

Problem (H-membership)

Let π be a simple proof, and f a formula. Is there a $\overset{ne}{\rightsquigarrow}$ -NF $\pi \overset{ne}{\rightsquigarrow} \pi^*$ such that $f \in H(\pi^*)$?

\Rightarrow NP-complete

Problem (H-containment)

Let π_1, π_2 be simple proofs. Are there $\overset{ne}{\rightsquigarrow}$ -NFs $\pi_i \overset{ne}{\rightsquigarrow} \pi_i^*$ such that $H(\pi_1^*) \subseteq H(\pi_2^*)$?

\Rightarrow Π_2^P -complete

Corresponding complexity results for simple proofs

Problem (H-disjointness)

Let π_1, π_2 be simple proofs. Are there $\overset{ne}{\rightsquigarrow}$ -NFs $\pi_i \overset{ne}{\rightsquigarrow} \pi_i^*$ such that $H(\pi_1^*) \cap H(\pi_2^*) = \emptyset$?

\Rightarrow coNP-complete

Problem (H-equivalence)

Let π_1, π_2 be simple proofs. Are there $\overset{ne}{\rightsquigarrow}$ -NFs $\pi_i \overset{ne}{\rightsquigarrow} \pi_i^*$, such that that $H(\pi_1^*) = H(\pi_2^*)$?

\Rightarrow Π_2^P -complete

Conclusion

- We can analyze cut-reduction using tree grammars.

Future work:

- Given a set of terms T and $n \geq 0$, is there a TRATG G such that $T \subseteq L(G)$ with at most n productions?
 - NP-complete if G has two nonterminals, otherwise unknown.